UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,128	04/04/2006	James J. Collins	0079571-0094	3605
	7590 02/28/201 LL & STEWART LLP	_	EXAMINER	
TWO INTERN	ATIONAL PLACE	HIBBERT, CATHERINE S		
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/28/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@choate.com jhess@choate.com vlambergs@choate.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/535,128	COLLINS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Litallillei	Artonit		

		CATHERINE HIBBERT	1636					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED 16 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
•	The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
have I under set for may re	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exists 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sign in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. 🗌	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause				
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the isappeal; and/or 								
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	jected claims.					
4. П		` ''	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. 🔯	·		mphant / monamont	(1 102 02 1).				
6.			timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. 🔼	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	vided below or appended.		explanation of				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>116,180,244-250,253-260,262-279,281</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>177-179,181,182,</u>							
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
		/NANCY VOGEL/						
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1636					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim amendments submitted after-final will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration under 112, first paragraph, and further search. The amendment to the the base claim 116 that defines the "portion" as being at least six nucleotides in length changes the scope of the claim and would require further search and considerations under 112, first paragraph..

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claim 116 for indefiniteness regarding the antecedent basis for "the stem-loop structure" is overcome based on applicant's persuasive argument.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments would require further search and further consideration under 112, first paragraph. The non-entry of the proposed amendments renders applicant's arguments moot regarding the proposed claim amendments, however, the applicant's argument regarding the rejection under 112, second paragraph, regarding the antecedent basis for "the stem-loop structure, is persuasive and this rejection is overcome based on applicant's argument.